

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,751	09/03/2004	Katsuya Yamamoto	09792486-0154	6582	
26263	7590 03/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SONNENS	CHEIN NATH & ROSI	BALAOING, ARIEL A			
P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080				
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/506,751	YAMAMOTO, KATSUYA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ariel Balaoing	2683		

		1 7.1.0 01.1.0	1		
	Ariel Balaoing	2683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Office.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) \(\sum \) They raise new issues that would require further co					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	•		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	it before or on the date of filing a b	leties of Annual will m			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claim 1 includes the new limitation "via a controller associated with the second part of the phone" which changes the scope of claims 1, 3-6. Also, ammended claim 7 includes the previously presented limitations of cancelled claim 8, which changes the scope of dependent claims 9-14. The newly proposed amendments to the claims alter the scope of the invention previously examined and searched. Such amendments would require further consideration of the prior art of record AND/OR inhrently requires a new search.

JEAN GELIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

an Palin 3/4200